Philadelphia's Experiences with the Pollutant Minimization Plan Requirements

By

Bruce S. Aptowicz P.E. Deputy Director of Operations Philadelphia Water Department

Contents of Presentation

- Issues Affecting PCB Reduction Strategy
 Philadelphia Water Department's Approach to Management of Privately owned PCB Containing Devices
- PWD's Experiences and Plans Regarding Sewershed Trackdown

PCB Reduction Strategy

 Modeling indicates that PCB loads are three (3) orders of magnitude above the TMDL Sources from air deposition, tributary loading, point source discharge, contaminated sites and stormwater runoff and even ocean tidal inflow all contribute significant PCB loads into the estuary

PCB Reduction Strategy

(cont'd)

- Analysis of modeling results clearly indicate that:
 - All sources of PCBs into the estuary must be substantially reduced before the water quality goal can be realized
 - Achievement of PCB water quality goals will likely take decades to achieve

 A reasonable course of action for point source dischargers is to perform a good faith effort to minimize further PCB loads Philadelphia Water Department's Approach to Management of Privately owned PCB Containing Devices

- In September of 1994, PWD was victim of an approximately 1000 lbs illegal discharge of PCB-filled transformers into one of our sewersheds
- Devices were not listed on Maga rule database
- We agree that a better managed program might prevent future discharges

5

SLUDGE PCB LOADING



Management of PCB Containing Devices (Cont'd)

- Create a GIS database of known PCB containing devices within PWD's sewershed - both the City and suburban.
- Data sources include:
 - → Philadelphia Fire Department
 - > Philadelphia Health Department
 - → USEPA (Mega rule, CERCLA, TSCA and RCRA databases)
 - → PaDEP

Management of PCB Containing Devices (Cont'd)

→ DRBC

Partnership for Delaware Estuary files
Electric service providers
Letter to above agencies has been sent requesting information on PCB devices, PCB past spills and other PCB clean up efforts

Currently Available Information on PCB Containing Devices

- USEPA and Phila. Fire Code requires registration of transformers containing greater than 500 mg/l PCBs with PFD
- In 1998, the Megarule required that owners of PCB containing devices over 500 mg/l submit information to the USEPA:
 - maintain annual records of PCB devices and disposal activities

Currently Available Information (cont'd)

- Name, address and USEPA identification number of facility
- Number and type of PCB containers
- Weight of PCBs in each device
- Disconnected device, not stored in an approved location, must be disposed within 60 months
- All transformers must be labeled regarding PCB levels (>500, 500>50, <50)

Management of PCB Containing Devices (cont'd)

- Identify for each location:
 - → Owner
 - → Address
 - Assign process and stormwater discharge to sewer segment or a system connection
 - → Type of devices
 - > Number of devices

Management of PCB Containing Devices (Cont'd)

- → Type of Aroclor (if available)
- → PCB concentration (if available)
- → Fluid volume
- Status of device (in use, out of service, disconnected)
- Status of facility (in operation, closed, abandoned/not secure)

Management of PCB Containing Devices (Cont'd)

- PWD, utilizing our Industrial Waste Unit's inspectors, intends to visit all PCB identified sites within the City to gather requested information
 PWD will request suburban township fire
 - PWD will request suburban township fire authorities (or others) to visit facilities within their townships to gather information. If PWD manages facility's pretreatment program, we will visit facility 13

Management of PCB Containing Devices (Cont'd)

 PWD intends to focus attention on the removal of PCB devices according to the following priority:

- 1st Abandoned facility
- 2nd Closed Facility
- 3rd Disconnected device
- 4th Out of service device

Management of PCB Containing Devices (Cont'd)

Management options include:

- Seek regulatory assistance to insure facility compliance with current PCB management regulations
- Consider providing information to all device owners regarding proper management of PCB devices and encourage their removal
- Request updated information in future and maintain a current database

Management of PCB Containing Devices (Cont'd)

- Attempt to develop a notification procedure in which electric service providers notify PWD or a regulator when high tension service is terminated. Notification could prompt letter contact or visit to facility
- Consider creation of a fund and overcoming any legal barriers to drain and dispose of *vulnerable* PCB devices (may include disposal of device carcass)

PWD's Experiences and Plans Regarding Sewershed Trackdown

- In 2001, each plant effluent was sampled for PCBs - 3 times in dry and 3 times in wet weather
 - results from the 9 dry weather samples were 1 congener just above detection in 1 sample
 - → results* from wet weather samples averaged
 - 6,313 picrograms per liter for Northeast Plant
 - 10,773 picrograms per liter for Southeast Plant
 - 3,023 picrograms per liter for Southwest Plant

* non-detected congeners were computed as zero

- In 2005, each plant effluent is being sampled for PCBs - 3 times in dry and 3 times in wet weather
- number of congeners reported increased and detection level decreased
- Available results to date are as follows

Total Average PCBs (in pg/l) (Incomplete data set)



- In 2001/2002, PWD, as well as CCMUA, supported by the USEPA, PaDEP and DRBC, developed and conducted an initial trackdown of a sewershed
- Methodology:
 - Southeast Plant was selected due to highest wet weather PCB levels and simpler influent configuration
 - All sampling in wet weather (3/4 inch of rain event)

20

- Samples taken at various locations in interceptor since numerous individual trunk sewer sampling was deemed too costly
- All sewer samples consisted of a composite of 2 grab samples taken 20 minutes apart
- Initial sample taken at head of interceptor and at one hour post storm start

- Interceptor time of travel was estimated and downstream samples taken accordingly
- Plant influent sample was an ISCO 30 minute composite, starting at estimated time of arrival at plant and for eight hours
- Plant effluent sample was similar to influent sample but with a two hour delay
- IWU employed 3 crews of two persons to conduct sampling





PCB Concentrations in samples in pg/l



Trackdown Locations

PCB Concentrations in samples in pg/1 without # 3



Trackdown Locations

Theoretical Graphs for Various Loadings (Percentage of Plant Influ. Loading)



Theoretical Graphs for Various Loadings (Percentage of Plant Influ. Loading)



PCB Loadings in Shed as a % age of Plant Influent Load



PCB Loadings in Shed as a % age of Plant Infl. Load w/o # 3



PCB Concentrations (pg/l) at other locations within Sewershed



Trackdown Locations

Aroclor Comparison % for each Homolog



Observations/Comments

- Schuylkill River (at average flow) is not a significant PCB contributor to shed via water plant
- Two minor interceptors located near Southeast Plant are not significant PCB contributors
- Large residential/retail shed is not a significant PCB contributor

- Over 150 percent of plant influent PCB load appears to originate from source(s) affecting sample location # 1 - a distance of five miles from Southeast Plant
- The 1994 illegal discharge was upstream of sample location # 1
- Aroclor type at sample location # 1 is similar to 1994 discharge

- Initial upstream sampling site (# 1) needs further study (Phase 2)
 - Determine if PCB source is from 1 or more trunk sewers contributing flow ahead of site # 1 or from the sediment in the intercepting sewer
 - Sample during a storm event
 - Use analytical method 8082



- High PCB concentration near Arch Street nears further study (Phase 2)
 - Conc. increases 5 fold from upstream sample
 - But then immediately decreases 6 fold in next downstream sample
 - Sample may be an aberration or may be due to some resuspension/resettling of sewer sediment phenomena or ??
 - Ultimate importance of site as a significant contributor to plant influent loading is not certain
 - Resample during a storm event using analytical method 8082



Analytical Methods

1668a

Sensitivity 1000 pg/l (per congener)

Accuracy

+/- 25 % +/- 50 %

10 to 100 pg/l

Contamination

Often below Often above Background levels

Cost per sample

\$ 1500

\$ 300⁹

8082

100 to

Sources identified via sewer trackdown

- Assign GIS coordinates
- IWU to visit sites and attempt to obtain information regarding source of PCBs
- Identify potential minimization strategies
- Confer with regulatory agencies regarding future strategy

- Known spills and contaminated sites
 - Develop list from requested agencies
 - Assign GIS coordinates
 - Populate a database with available information
 - IWU to visit sites and determine if there is any reason to expect that site may be an significant source
 - If so, consider taking samples of runoff or soil and analyze for PCBs
 - Confer with regulatory agencies regarding future strategy

Thank you

and

Good Hunting