

GURBIR S. GREWAL  
 ATTORNEY GENERAL OF NEW JERSEY  
 Richard J. Hughes Justice Complex  
 25 Market Street  
 P.O. Box 117  
 Trenton, New Jersey 08625-0117  
 Attorney for Plaintiff

By: Ryan S. Schaffer  
 Deputy Attorney General  
 NJ Attorney ID No. 058152013  
 (609)376-2965  
 Ryan.Schaffer@law.njoag.gov

SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY  
 SPECIAL CIVIL PART - MERCER COUNTY  
 DOCKET NO. MER-DC-005882-18

MARLENE CARIDE, COMMISSIONER OF THE NEW JERSEY DEPARTMENT OF BANKING AND INSURANCE,	)	<u>Civil Action</u>
Plaintiff,	)	
v.	)	<b>AMENDED ORDER FOR</b>
	)	<b>FINAL JUDGMENT BY DEFAULT</b>
JULIO C. CARABALLO,	)	<b>SPECIAL CIVIL PART: STATUTORY</b>
Defendant.	)	<b>PENALTIES</b>
	)	<b>AMOUNT IN CONTROVERSY: \$8,651.50</b>

The Defendant, Julio C. Caraballo ("Defendant"), having been duly served with a copy of the Summons and Complaint in the above-entitled action and default having been entered for failure to appear, answer or otherwise defend;

This Court now finds that Defendant Julio C. Caraballo violated the New Jersey Insurance Fraud Prevention Act, N.J.S.A. 17:33A-1 to -30 ("Fraud Act") by knowingly providing false or misleading information during a claim for Unsatisfied Claim and Judgment Fund benefits; and

FINAL JUDGMENT is on March 22, 2019

entered in the amount of \$8,651.50 against Defendant Julio C. Caraballo and in favor of Plaintiff, Marlene Caride, Commissioner of the New Jersey Department of Banking and Insurance. This amount consists of: a \$5,000.00 civil penalty for one violation of the Fraud Act, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5b; attorneys' fees of \$2,651.50, pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5b; and a statutory fraud surcharge of \$1,000.00 pursuant to N.J.S.A. 17:33A-5.1; and

IT IS FURTHER ORDERED, that pursuant to N.J.S.A. 39:6A-15, Defendant Julio C. Caraballo's driving privileges will be suspended for a period of one year from February 8, 2019;

IT IS FURTHER ORDER, that pursuant to R. 1:13-1, this amended order supersedes the February 8, 2019 order of final judgment by default entered by this court.

A copy of this order shall be served on all parties by the moving party within 10 days. A party represented by an attorney shall be deemed served by uploading to eCourts.

Unopposed /s/William Anklowitz, J.S.C.

OPINION: Plaintiff asks for substantively the same thing in the amended order, but the original order of February 8, 2019, says that defendant will lose his license for a year from that date. The way the proposed order was submitted the one year clock would be extended. The court rejects the extension of the time of loss of license and amended the order accordingly.